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In this video we learn what they really wants to do about reasoning. It pushes our mind to think outside of the box and only on what we see but on many possibilities may happen and reasons about each of it. The first topic tackles about choosing and making a decision on what is more morally right about killing people when you don’t have a choice. There are things like pushing the fat man is actually had a choice of yours to push him or not, involve him in the situation or not. If we will think deeply, every answers still ends up killing a person, is it still right? Or you will just depends on what s more morally right. We learned that every arguments and situations the professor gives, there is someone who contradicts the other one. That’s how we practice our reasoning.

On the second topic the professor talked about cannibalism of people on a boat. Students argument about the morally difference in consent, lotto procedure and murder. For me, every side of people who are in the boat is wrong when they commit to kill a person and agreed to each other by doing that. Even if it has consent or they did lotto procedure, they will not know if that person will miracly survived until someone came to rescue them. Also if even the other 3 won’t survive, the cabin boy can still have a good life that can still benefit many, maybe thousands or more. So just by ending just one life and putting a fate to end it would not be morally right in every direction for me, just like one guy said “murder is murder” even if it for survival then it will just show that you think for yourselves to survive without thinking the others life. Killing is in generally wrong whatever may be the reason of it even the a person asked for it because we didn’t know what fate will be on that person when he/she has given a chance to live more, maybe he can change the world or helped many people in the future.